

Policy Note #28 | December 2022

# Perceptions of Community Welcoming: Measuring Individuals' Experiences and Satisfaction with Services in Greater Sudbury

What Makes a Welcoming Community? (Series)



#KNOWTHENORTH  
CONNAISSEZLEND

NORTHERN  
POLICY INSTITUTE

INSTITUT DES POLITIQUES  
DU NORD

Giwednong Aakomenjigewin Teg  
b ΔC2-4σ-4γ P-77N.σ γCγγΔbγ  
Institu dPoliitk di Nor  
Aen vawnd nor Lee lway La koonpayeen

By: Karly Mabee

[northernpolicy.ca](http://northernpolicy.ca)

# NPI – Who We Are

## President & CEO

Charles Cirtwill

## Board of Directors

Florence MacLean  
(Chair)  
Kim Jo Bliss  
(Vice Chair Northwest)  
Dwayne Nashkawa  
(Vice Chair Northeast)  
Kevin Eshkawkogan  
(Secretary)  
Pierre Riopel (Treasurer)  
Charles Cirtwill  
(President & CEO)

Cheryl Brownlee  
Dr. Harley d'Entremont  
Ralph Falcioni  
Christine Leduc  
Dr. Michele Piercey-Normore  
Eric Rutherford  
Douglas Semple  
Mariette Sutherland  
Brian Vaillancourt  
Wayne Zimmer

## Advisory Council

Michael Atkins  
Johanne Baril  
Martin Bayer  
Pierre Bélanger  
Chief Patsy Corbiere  
Katie Elliot  
Neil Fox  
Shane Fugere

George Graham  
Gina Kennedy  
Winter Dawn Lipscombe  
Dr. George C. Macey  
John Okonmah  
Bill Spinney  
Dr. Brian Tucker

## Research Advisory Board

Dr. Heather Hall (Chair,  
NPI Research Advisory Board)  
Dr. Hugo Asselin  
Riley Burton  
Dr. Ken Carter  
Dr. Katie Hartmann

Carolyn Hepburn  
Dr. Peter Hollings  
Brittany Paat  
Dr. Barry Prentice  
Dr. David Robinson

## Land Acknowledgement

NPI would like to acknowledge the First Peoples on whose traditional territories we live and work. NPI is grateful for the opportunity to have our offices located on these lands and thank all the generations of people who have taken care of this land.

### Our main offices:

- Thunder Bay on Robinson-Superior Treaty territory and the land is the traditional territory of the Anishnaabeg and Fort William First Nation.
- Sudbury is on the Robinson-Huron Treaty territory and the land is the traditional territory of the Atikameksheng Anishnaabeg as well as Wahnapiitae First Nation.
- Kirkland Lake is on the Robinson-Huron Treaty territory and the land is the traditional territory of Cree, Ojibway, and Algonquin Peoples, as well as Beaverhouse First Nation.
- Each community is home to many diverse First Nations, Inuit, and Métis Peoples.

We recognize and appreciate the historic connection that Indigenous peoples have to these territories. We support their efforts to sustain and grow their nations. We also recognize the contributions that they have made in shaping and strengthening local communities, the province, and Canada.

This report was made possible through the support of our partner, Northern Ontario Heritage Fund Corporation. Northern Policy Institute expresses great appreciation for their generous support but emphasizes the following: The views expressed in this report are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Institute, its Board of Directors or its supporters. Quotation with appropriate credit is permissible.

Author's calculations are based on data available at the time of publication and are therefore subject to change.

Editor: Barry Norris

© 2022 Northern Policy Institute

Published by Northern Policy Institute

874 Tungsten St.

Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 6T6

ISBN: 978-1-77868-031-1

## About the Author

# Karly Mabee



Karly Mabee is a former Policy Analyst at Northern Policy Institute. She graduated with her Master of Applied Politics after completing an Honours Bachelor of Arts in Political Science and Leadership at Wilfrid Laurier University in Waterloo, ON. Karly's professional interests are primarily in data analysis, research, and preparing communications materials. In her free time Karly enjoys camping, hiking, and other outdoor activities.



NPI is pleased to have the support of FedNor for this important work to assess the impact of the Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot in Northern Ontario.

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	5
Introduction .....	6
Methodology .....	6
Demographics.....	6
Findings.....	7
Satisfaction with public services.....	7
Accessibility of support services.....	7
Employment status and satisfaction.....	7
Factors of welcoming.....	8
Indigenous peoples welcoming.....	9
Student welcoming and retention .....	9
Program Spotlight: Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot Participants .....	10
Conclusion .....	11
References.....	12





# Executive Summary

Northern Ontario's demographics have been shifting rapidly over the last decades. The aging labour force combined with the higher rates of youth out-migration, and the declining birth rates, coupled with COVID-19 pandemic-related disruptions to immigration, have created unique challenges for the sustainable development of Northern Ontario's communities. In this demographic context, it is more important than ever for Northern Ontario regions to focus on attracting and retaining more people and working on pressing issues such as racism, reconciliation, and welcoming to strengthen these efforts.

For this purpose, the "Individual Experience Survey" was created by Northern Policy Institute in partnership with various organizations to unpack and measure people's experiences and perspectives of living in Northern Ontario. The survey measures and assesses individuals' satisfaction with public and support services and related resources, as well as their perceptions of the welcoming efforts in their communities. The survey was administered from February 1, 2022, to February 22, 2022. 656 unique responses were collected, of which 131 participants identified as currently residing in Greater Sudbury.

Below are some key findings and recommendations:

1. Overall, respondents from Greater Sudbury were generally satisfied with the public services offered in their community. That being said, the findings suggest that the greatest opportunities for improvement of services would be in public transportation, the healthcare system, and diversity and inclusion services.
2. In terms of support services for immigrants, almost half (47 per cent) of respondents had difficulty accessing them. Given that the most prominent support service providers are friends or family, universities or colleges, online, and government-funded service providers, there might be an opportunity to leverage these avenues to increase support opportunities.
3. Although most respondents indicated that they were satisfied with their employment situation, the factors that contribute most to making individuals feel welcome in Greater Sudbury were primarily economic. Investment in welcoming infrastructure and labour market integration for immigrants and diverse groups in the region should also be explored.



# Introduction

In February 2022 Northern Policy Institute (NPI), in partnership with organizations across the regions of Northern Ontario, carried out a survey initiative aimed at leveraging individual resources to collect consistent, comparable data to inform decision making at the local, regional, provincial, and national levels. Given that the demographics of many Northern Ontario regions are shifting due to an aging labour force, high out-migration among younger generations seeking education or employment, and declining birth rates, it is more important than ever that resources be focused on racism, reconciliation, and welcoming in order to strengthen attraction and retention. Immigration, reconciliation with Indigenous peoples, and multicultural initiatives are ways to address these challenges in Northern Ontario, as is ensuring that existing populations can participate fully in the community.

To measure the experiences and perspectives of individuals living in Northern Ontario communities, NPI in partnership with various organizations created the "Individual Experience Survey." The goal of this survey was to measure and assess individuals' satisfaction with support services and related resources in their communities, as well as the welcoming efforts of each community. The survey was open from February 1, 2022, to February 22, 2022, and anyone residing in Northern Ontario was eligible to complete it. The survey was made available in both English and French. Overall, 656 unique responses were collected, of which 131 identified as currently residing in Greater Sudbury.

# Methodology

The Individual Experience Survey was distributed online via a survey link. The link to the survey was made available on NPI's website and on that of many of the partner organizations, as well as through newsletters and targeted advertisements on social media and Google, followed up by direct outreach through personal emails, phone calls, and word of mouth. The survey was divided into the following sections: general information, immigration information, Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot usage, service use, student experience, employment situation, welcoming community, and spousal questions. Through the use of logic paths, respondents were directed to questions based on their responses to demographic indicators throughout the survey.

For the purpose of this summary, the responses of individuals residing in Greater Sudbury were used to analyse satisfaction with public services, accessibility of support services for immigrants, employment satisfaction, factors that influence feeling welcomed, Indigenous welcoming, and student welcoming and retention. These reports also are available for Thunder Bay, Sault Ste. Marie, Timmins, and North Bay, as they are all participating in the Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot project. A report also was produced for French speakers. It should be noted that limitations of this research include a small sample size and a non-random sample based on participant self-selection, leaving results unable to be generalized for the population at large.

# Demographics

The 2021 census population for Greater Sudbury was 170,605, an increase of 2.8 per cent since 2016.<sup>1</sup> Over the same period, the entire province experienced a population increase of 5.8 per cent. As of 2021, 25.7 per cent of the population of Greater Sudbury identified as Francophone, compared with 19.6 per cent nationally. The Francophone population of the Individual Survey for Greater Sudbury was 22.8 per cent. Additionally, in 2021 there were 19,005 Indigenous peoples in Greater Sudbury, accounting for 11.3 per cent of the population, compared with 9.7 per cent in 2016. Nationally, 5.0 per cent of the population identified as Indigenous in 2021. The Indigenous population of the Individual Survey for Greater Sudbury was six per cent.

---

<sup>1</sup> The "thematic" sections of the 2021 census are released throughout 2022.

## Findings

### Satisfaction with public services:

Overall, respondents from Greater Sudbury expressed satisfaction with services.<sup>2</sup> They were most satisfied with telecommunication and internet services (64 per cent), followed by the public education system (61 per cent) and emergency services (61 per cent). The greatest dissatisfaction among respondents on average was with public transportation (38 per cent), the healthcare system (35 per cent) and diversity and inclusion services (25 per cent). By comparison, a 2018 national survey found that 71 per cent of Canadians were satisfied with the public education system and 59 per cent with public transportation (Environics Institute 2019). Comparing these findings suggests that respondents from Greater Sudbury were much less satisfied with these services than Canadians on average.

### Accessibility of support services:

Of respondents who identified as immigrants currently residing in Greater Sudbury and had sought support services, approximately 53 per cent indicated that they had had no problems or difficulties obtaining support services.<sup>3</sup> The remaining 47 per cent indicated that they have had problems or difficulties in obtaining support services. The main sources of support, in order of most unanimous use by respondents, were friends or family, and universities or colleges, online, and government-funded service providers. Respondents were least likely to have used not-for-profit service providers, municipalities or municipal organizations, or for-profit service providers.

### Employment status and satisfaction:


Approximately 53 per cent of Greater Sudbury respondents were employed full time, while 19 per cent worked part time,<sup>4</sup> five per cent were unemployed and looking for work, and 23 per cent were not participating in the labour force. Overall, 65 per cent of respondents from Greater Sudbury were satisfied with their employment situation. Of those who were employed full time, 83 per cent were also either satisfied or extremely satisfied. Individuals who were employed part time had a satisfaction rate of 50 per cent. The least satisfied respondents were those who were unemployed and looking for work (83 per cent). The literature suggests that employment satisfaction is an indicator of retention in the workplace (Cowin et al. 2008; Tarim, Zizys, and Yang 2019; Yap et al. 2013). Additional analysis of immigrant employment satisfaction in Greater Sudbury demonstrates that immigrant respondents were more satisfied with their employment situation than Canadian citizens, by six per cent (see Figure 1).<sup>5</sup>

2 Since the sample size for this question varies between indicators, the percentage was calculated based on those who indicated satisfaction with the service, divided by the number of respondents who had used the given indicator. The sample size for the indicators ranges from 83 to 116 individuals.


3 The sample size for this question is based on those who identified as an immigrant and reside in Greater Sudbury (n=19).

4 The sample size for the employment indicator is n=114.

5 The sample size for this indicator was based on those who identified as an immigrant and those who were born in Canada and reside in Greater Sudbury (n=120).



**Figure 1:** Employment Satisfaction by Immigration Status



Note: The term "Immigrants" is defined as individuals who indicated their migration status as a temporary resident, study permit holder, temporary resident, work permit holder, permanent resident, refugee claimant, or naturalized Canadian citizen.

Source: Author's calculations, Northern Policy Institute, Measurement Month: Individual Experience Survey, February 2022, n=120.

## Factors of welcoming:

Overall, respondents from Greater Sudbury expressed that all welcoming factors would contribute to making them feel settled.<sup>6</sup> The factors that respondents from Greater Sudbury indicated would contribute most to making them feel welcome (see Figure 2) were having a good place to live (92 per cent), having enough money to live well (91 per cent), and having a good job (83 per cent). Factors that were less, but still, important were knowledge of the community (77 per cent), connection with members of the community (74 per cent), and participation in local organizations (62 per

cent). These results reflect the work done by the Regina Regional Local Immigration Partnership, which looked at the characteristics of a welcoming community in relation to Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs. This model suggests that physiological needs must be met before more social needs of belonging (Multicultural Council of Saskatchewan 2017). The Individual Experience Survey results demonstrate similar findings, with housing, employment, and financial security taking priority for respondents over community connection and participation.

<sup>6</sup> The sample size for this question varied between welcoming factors, therefore the percentage was calculated based on those who indicated the given factor was important, divided by the number of respondents of the given factor. The sample size for the various factors ranged from 116 to 117 individuals.



**Figure 2:** Importance of Welcoming Factors



Source: Author's calculations, Northern Policy Institute, Measurement Month: Individual Experience Survey, February 2022, n=116-117.

## Indigenous peoples welcoming:

Although evaluation of Indigenous respondents at the community level was not possible given limited data availability, an analysis can be undertaken of Indigenous responses from across Northern Ontario.<sup>7</sup> Based on the analysis of several welcoming indicators, the survey data suggest that Indigenous respondents felt that economic factors were more influential than social factors on feeling settled in the community: 75 per cent felt economic factors would contribute greatly to making them feel welcome, whereas 44 per cent said social factors would do so. In terms of prioritizing services, Indigenous respondents indicated they would benefit more from positive economic changes, such as more affordable housing and employment opportunities, than from social welcoming.

## Student welcoming and retention:

Community-level data for students was unavailable given the limited available sample size. Analysis of responses from across all of Northern Ontario suggests that approximately 66 per cent of student respondents found their community welcoming.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, 46 per cent of respondents were planning to stay in their community after graduation. Approximately 59 per cent who felt welcome in their community were planning to stay in their community after graduation, while 27 per cent of respondents who did not find their community welcoming were still planning on staying in their community. This relationship between student welcoming and retention is interesting, and could use further exploration.

<sup>7</sup> The sample size for Indigenous peoples living in Northern Ontario is n=57.

<sup>8</sup> The sample size for students living in Northern Ontario is n=105.

# Program Spotlight: Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot Participants

Although evaluation of Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot (RNIP) respondents at the community level was not possible given limited data availability, analysis can be undertaken of responses from across Northern Ontario.<sup>9</sup> RNIP respondents most frequently had one year of continuous paid work experience in the previous three years in one occupation outside Canada when applying to the RNIP, and most commonly found out about the RNIP from friends and/or family in Canada, or through independent research of official information sources (Government of Canada, Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada [IRCC]). The stage of the application process that respondents found easiest was submitting an application for community recommendation, followed closely by meeting the minimum RNIP requirements (education, language, work experience, etc.). Respondents identified applying to IRCC for permanent residence as third easiest; receiving an acceptable job offer in the community came last, but was still easy. Overall, respondents who used the RNIP program were satisfied or very satisfied with all aspects of program delivery: the time it took to receive a community recommendation or permanent residence approval, communication with local RNIP administrators, support from local RNIP administrators, the cost of qualifying for the RNIP, and the overall process of applying to the RNIP program.

When assessing employment, the most common industries in which RNIP respondents were employed were accommodation and food services, healthcare and social assistance, and manufacturing. Additionally, 58 per cent of RNIP respondents were either satisfied or extremely satisfied with their employment situation.

Regarding settlement services, almost all RNIP respondents indicated that they had not had any problems or difficulties obtaining support services. Approximately 67 per cent of respondents indicated that economic factors would contribute greatly to making them feel welcome, whereas 50 per cent said social factors would do so. The most influential welcoming factors were having a good place to live, a good job, knowledge of the local community, and feeling that their family would do well in the region. Participation in local organizations and connection with members of the community were less important.

When asked about satisfaction with public services, RNIP respondents who engaged with public services were most satisfied with religious and cultural services, telecommunications and internet access, and the public education system. RNIP respondents were least, yet still, satisfied with public transportation and the healthcare system.



<sup>9</sup> The sample size for individuals who have used the Rural and Northern Immigration Pilot living in Northern Ontario is n=14.



## Conclusion

Overall, the findings from the Individual Experience Survey suggest that respondents from Greater Sudbury were generally satisfied with the public services offered in their community. The survey findings suggest that the greatest opportunities for improvement of services would be in public transportation, the healthcare system, and diversity and inclusion services. In terms of support services for immigrants, almost half (47 per cent) of respondents had difficulty accessing them. Given that the most prominent support service providers are friends or family, universities or colleges, online, and government-funded service providers, there might be an opportunity to leverage these avenues to increase support opportunities. Although most respondents indicated that they were satisfied with their employment situation, the factors that contribute most to making individuals feel welcome in Greater Sudbury were primarily economic. Investment in welcoming infrastructure and labour market integration for immigrants and diverse groups in the region should also be explored.





## References

- Cowin, L.S., Maree Johnson, Rhonda G. Craven, and Herbert W. Marsh. 2008. "Causal modeling of Self-Concept, Job Satisfaction, and Retention of Nurses." *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 45 (10): 1449–59. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18242611/>
- Environics Institute. 2019. "Canadians' Satisfaction with Public Services – Trend Analysis and OECD Comparisons." Online at [https://www.environicsinstitute.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/satisfaction-with-public-services-final.pdf?sfvrsn=12ab5caa\\_0](https://www.environicsinstitute.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/satisfaction-with-public-services-final.pdf?sfvrsn=12ab5caa_0)
- Multicultural Council of Saskatchewan. 2017. "Welcoming and Inclusive Communities Toolkit." Online at [https://mcos.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/module-1\\_toolkit\\_what-is-a-welcoming\\_and\\_inclusive\\_community.pdf](https://mcos.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/module-1_toolkit_what-is-a-welcoming_and_inclusive_community.pdf)
- Statistics Canada. 2017. Ontario [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released November 29, 2017. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed October 12, 2022).
- Statistics Canada. 2017. Greater Sudbury [Census metropolitan area], Ontario and Ontario [Province] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2016001. Ottawa. Released November 29, 2017. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed October 12, 2022).
- Statistics Canada. 2022. (table). Census Profile. 2021 Census of Population. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-X2021001. Ottawa. Released September 21, 2022. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E> (accessed October 12, 2022).
- Tarim, Subhi, Tom Zizys, and Zidi Yang. 2019. "2018 GTA Employer Survey – Employment and Retention of Newcomers." Peel-Halton Local Employment Planning Council. Online at <https://www.wpboard.ca/hypfiles/uploads/2019/04/2018Survey.pdf>
- Yap, Margaret, Mark Holmes, Charity-Ann Hannan, and Wendy Cukier. 2013. "Correlates of Career Satisfaction in Canada: The Immigrant's Experience." *Journal of International Migration and Integration* 15: 49-71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-012-0268-y>





# About Northern Policy Institute

*Northern Policy Institute is Northern Ontario's independent, evidence-driven think tank. We perform research, analyze data, and disseminate ideas. Our mission is to enhance Northern Ontario's capacity to take the lead position on socio-economic policy that impacts our communities, our province, our country, and our world.*

*We believe in partnership, collaboration, communication, and cooperation. Our team seeks to do inclusive research that involves broad engagement and delivers recommendations for specific, measurable action. Our success depends on our partnerships with other entities based in or passionate about Northern Ontario.*

*Our permanent offices are in Thunder Bay, Sudbury, and Kirkland Lake. During the summer months we have satellite offices in other regions of Northern Ontario staffed by teams of Experience North placements. These placements are university and college students working in your community on issues important to you and your neighbours.*

## Related Research

**Magnetic North 2021 Conference Report, February 2022**  
Mercedes Labelle

**A Reason to Stay: Retaining Youth in Northern Ontario, March 2022**  
Andre Ouellet & Dr. Martin Lefebvre

**Addressing the Cuts Left Behind: Anti-Racism and Discrimination Initiatives for an Inclusive Northern Ontario, February 2022**  
Larissa Yantha

To stay connected or get involved, please contact us at:

**info@northernpolicy.ca**    **www.northernpolicy.ca**



**NORTHERN**  
POLICY INSTITUTE

INSTITUT DES POLITIQUES  
**DU NORD**

Giwednong Aakomenjigewin Teg  
b ΔC2-4σ-4\ P·7Π.σ\ <D·9·ΔbΓ^  
Institu dPolitik di Nor  
Aen vawnd nor Lee lway La koonpayeen

[northernpolicy.ca](http://northernpolicy.ca)